# The bivariate luminosity function of galaxy pairs

Shiyin Shen (沈世報), Shuai Feng(冯帅) Shanghai Astronomical Observatory (上海天文台)



• Why measure bivariate LF of galaxy pairs?

• Measure it.

• Interpret and model it.

# Galaxy merging

- One of the main channel of galaxy formation and evolution
- global merging time scale 1-2 Gyr
  - Depends on many physical parameters(e.g. mass ratio, orbit....)
  - time mainly in the phase of galaxy pairs



# Galaxy pairs

■ Close enough ■d<sub>p</sub> < ~ 100 kpc</p>

■ Dynamical bound?
■ dV < ~ 500 km/s</p>



#### Compare with field galaxies

Control sample (e.g. M\*, Morphology etc.)
 At what distance, pairs start to show strong interactions?

- d<sub>p</sub> < 50kpc (close pairs)
- $d_p$  > 50kpc (wide pairs)

# **Galaxy-galaxy interaction**



- Enhanced star formation rate
- Diluted nuclear gas-phase metallicities
- Overabundance of AGN

. . .





# What missed in control sample studies?

Relative frequency of galaxies of M\* in pairs

- If high stellar mass galaxies are less frequent in pairs because of shorter merging time scale?
- $\blacksquare \Phi(M *)$
- The dependence of the galaxy properties on its companion
  - $\blacksquare \Phi(M1 \mid M2)$

BLF of galaxy pairs  $\Phi(M1, M2)$  provide a comprehensive description of galaxy-galaxy interaction



Modified by Merge Timescale Have interaction



# Galaxy pair sample

- SDSS legacy: main galaxy sample (r<17.77)</p>
  - N~700,000, spectroscopy completeness: ~91%
  - Fiber collision: ( $\theta < 55''$ : ~30%)
- LAMOST spectral survey
  - Complementary galaxy sample (Shen et al. 2016)
  - ~10,000 new redshifts till LAMOST DR5
- Other redshifts (~20,000)
   SDSS DR14 , GAMA, 2dfRs ...

#### Final sample

- 10kpc < d\_p < 200kpc and |Δv|<500km/s</p>
- Each galaxy have only one neighbor (Isolated)
- 56,808 galaxy pairs





## **Bivariate Luminosity Function**

Method: Step-wise maximum likelihood (Efstathiou+1998, Sodre+1993)

$$p_{i} = \frac{\Phi(A_{i}, B_{i})C_{\text{pair}}(\theta_{i})}{\int_{A_{\max}(z_{i})}^{A_{\max}(z_{i})} \int_{B_{\min}(z_{i})}^{B_{\max}(z_{i})} \Phi(A, B)C_{\text{pair}}(\theta)dAdB}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod^{N_{p}} p_{i}$$

#### BLF map and error map

#### →Conditional Luminosity Function



# **BLF Result**

#### Conclusion II: At d<sub>p</sub>~50 kpc, major merger pairs are less frequently than random assembly prediction



## Why major merger pairs are less frequent?

Dynamic friction: driving two bounded galaxies getting close until merge.

Numerical simulation

■ Mass ratio

$$T_{\rm df} \sim (\frac{M_{\rm host}}{M_{\rm sat}})^a$$

0.4 < a < 1.3 (Colpi+1997, Kitzbichler+2008, Jiang+2008)</p>

■ Host halo mass (Jiang+2014)

$$T_{\rm df} \sim M_{\rm host}^{-1/3}$$

Life time of massive major merger pairs is shorter.
 therefore less frequent in statistical studies

## Parameterization of BLF

 $\Phi_{Pair}(M_A, M_B) \propto \Phi_{Sch}(M_A) \Phi_{Sch}(M_B) 10^{0.4|M_1 - M_2|\beta}$ 

■ Interaction term:  $\beta$  (dynamical friction) ■  $\beta = 0$ : random assembly ■  $\beta > 0$ : favorite more minor mergers

#### What happens to M\*?



## Another simpler parameterization

- $\blacksquare M_{pair}$ : global pair luminosity
- $|M_A M_B|$ : magnitude difference
- Merging time scale effect
  - $\blacksquare$  Decreasing fraction of major merger pair (  $<|M_A-M_B|>\uparrow$  )

 $(M_A, M_B)$ 

- $\blacksquare$  Decreasing fraction of massive pair (<  $M_{pair} > \uparrow$  )
- < M<sub>pair</sub> > becomes brighter at d<sub>p</sub>~30kpc
   Enhanced star formation!



# Modelling merging time scale effect

Pair birth rate: randomly assembly  $\dot{\Phi}(L_A, L_B) \propto \Phi_0(L_A)\Phi_0(L_B)$ 

Observed number density of pairs

 $N(L_{\rm A}, L_{\rm B}) \propto \Phi_0(L_{\rm A}) \Phi_0(L_{\rm B}) T_{\rm m}(L_{\rm A}, L_{\rm B})$ 

$$T_{\rm m}(L_{\rm A}, L_{\rm B}) \sim T_{\rm m}(L_1, L_2) \sim (\frac{L_1}{L_2})^a (L_1 + L_2)^b$$



- Boundary conditions: 1. Pairs born at  $d_p=150$  kpc  $N(L_A, L_B, d_{max}) \propto \dot{\Phi}_{L_A, L_B}$
- d<sub>p</sub> distribution of the global pair sample is flat

From Numerical simulation: merge timescale depends on:

Mass ratio

$$T_{\rm df} \sim (\frac{M_{\rm host}}{M_{\rm sat}})^a$$

0.4 < a < 1.3 (Colpi+1997, Kitzbichler+2008, Jiang+2008)</li>
 Host halo mass (Jiang+2014)



First observational evidence on merging time scale of galaxy pairs

14

# Enhanced star formation at d<sub>p</sub>~30 kpc

- Extra young stars → Brightening
- Only happens on gas-rich galaxies
  - Enhanced star formation efficiency:  $(f_{\Delta} \sim 1-2?)$

 $\mathrm{SFR} = \mathrm{SFR}_0 + \Delta \mathrm{SFR} = (1+f_\Delta) * \mathrm{SFR}_0$ 

- time scale of enhanced star formation phase
  - T ~10% percent of the merging time scale: ~ 10<sup>8</sup>yr



### Parametrization of enhanced star formation



16

## How brightening reshapes BLF?



# Ingredients of galaxy pair evolution

I: Galaxies start to show interactions from  $d_p \sim 150$  kpc

II: Dynamic friction drives massive and major merger pairs merging more rapidly.

•  $|M_A - M_B| \uparrow \& M_{pair} \uparrow$  with decreasing of  $d_p$ 

III: Enhanced star formation happens only when galaxies are close enough  $d_p \sim 30 \text{kpc}$ 

## Summary (Feng et al. 2019, in preparation)

 We first time measure and parameterize the bivariate luminosity function(BLF) of galaxy pairs
 which provides one of the most comprehensive description of the galaxy-galaxy interaction in pairs.

#### From BLF of galaxy pairs, we show

- galaxies start to have interaction from  $d_p \sim 150$  kpc, larger than previous thoughts;
- observation evidence that galaxy merging time scale is shorter for massive and major merge pairs;
- galaxies at very close galaxy pairs (d<sub>p</sub> ~30 kpc) are brightened by enhanced star formation
   efficiency f<sub>A</sub> ~ 3 and time scale ~2×10<sup>8</sup> yr.